

<b><u>Title of Resource</u></b>	Teaching Students The Dangers of Researcher Degrees of Freedom in Sampling with a Coin-Flipping Activity
<b>Author(s)</b>	Jeffrey M. Osgood, Ph.D.
<b>Institution</b>	Florida Gulf Coast University
<b>Brief Description:</b>	This activity teaches students the danger of basing sampling stop-rules on interim data analysis. It works by showing students that sampling this way can lead to false results such as that a coin is highly biased towards heads or tails.
<b>Keywords:</b>	Research Methods; I might suggest the new sub-category “Sampling”
<b>Author Contact Information:</b>	josgood@fgcu.edu
<b>Additional Information:</b>	

TeachPsychScience.org is made possible with grant support from the *Association for Psychological Science (APS) Fund for Teaching and Public Understanding of Psychological Science* to the site creators Gary Lewandowski, Natalie Ciarocco, and David Strohmets. All materials on this site have been subjected to a peer review process. We welcome additional resources ([www.teachpsychscience.org/submissions](http://www.teachpsychscience.org/submissions)).

© 2016 Jeffrey M. Osgood All Rights Reserved. This material may be used for noncommercial educational purposes. All other uses require the written consent of the authors.

**Instructors:**

For this activity, use the handout provided below. You will need to provide coins for the students to use. This activity demonstrates to students the dangers of basing sampling stop rules on interim results. However, it also doubles by demonstrating the danger of small sample size as the aforementioned stop-rule often leads to small sample sizes.

This activity works best if students work in pairs so that one student can flip the coin while the other student records the number of heads and tails. Randomly assign student pairs to complete either procedure one or procedure two.

Upon the completion of this activity, tally the results from student pairs who used procedure one and those who used procedure two on the white board. You can expect to find that most student pairs who used procedure one will have found that the coin is biased whereas most pairs using sampling procedure two will find that the coin is not biased.

A good supplementary reading to assign students that demonstrates the danger of this sampling procedure as well as other common researcher practices is the 2011 paper by Simmons and Colleagues cited below. The combination of this activity and reading can be used to springboard class discussions on the dangers of researcher degrees of freedom.

Simmons, J. P., Nelson, L. D., & Simonsohn, U. (2011). False-Positive Psychology: Undisclosed Flexibility in Data Collection and Analysis Allows Presenting Anything as Significant. *Psychological Science*, 22(11), 1359-1366.

## Handout

### Coin-Flip Sampling Activity

**Introduction:** An incorrect procedure that some researchers follow is to choose small sample sizes for their initial run of participants, check their results, and if needed, add participants to the study one or a few at a time until they find the results they were hoping for. In other words, they base their decision to stop collecting data on interim results, not on having reached a predetermined and adequate sample size. The problem with this (in addition to small sample size) is that the probability that *at some point* in data collection, a researcher's data would resemble the results the researcher is looking for is very high even if there is no real effect.

**Instructions:** To demonstrate the danger of basing sampling stop rules on the results of interim data analysis, you will use either this improper sampling procedure or a proper sampling procedure to test if a coin disproportionately returns either heads or tails. Specifically, you will test if a coin has a 10% or greater deviation (either 60%+ heads or 60%+ tails). Your instructor will randomly assign you to use either procedure one, which represents the inappropriate sampling technique described above, or procedure two, which represents a proper sampling technique.

#### Procedure One

**This procedure reflects the problematic way some researchers go about sampling.**

- 1) Flip the coin 12 times. Calculate the percentage heads and the percentage tails. If either is 60% or greater, than stop and circle the result at the bottom that indicates you found a **“Based on this test, the coin is 10% biased or more.”** If not, continue to step two.
- 2) Continue flipping the coin and keeping track of heads and tails. After *each flip* recalculate the percentage heads and tails. Continue this until you get to 50 flips. However, if *at any point* either heads or tails gets to 60% or higher, stop flipping and circle the result below that indicates **“Based on this test, the coin is 10% biased or more.”**
- 3) *Only* if you reach 50 flips without ever finding that either heads or tails gets to 60% should you circle the result below that indicates **“Based on this test, the coin is not biased 10% or more.”**

#### Procedure Two

**This represents a better way of sampling: using a pre-set stop rule with large sample size.**

1. Please flip the coin 50 times and record the number of heads and tails.
2. Calculate the percentage of heads and tails in your flips.
3. Circle your result below.

#### Results (Circle One)

**Based on this test, the coin is 10% biased or more.**

**Based on this test, the coin is not biased 10% or more.**